

**Proof of Lemma 21.27.** The lemma is true when  $m = 3$  and  $m = 4$ . We proceed by induction on  $m$ . If  $G$  is separable, then each block  $B$  of  $G$  has an even subgraph whose 2-closure is  $E(B)$ , by induction. The union of these subgraphs is an even subgraph  $C$  whose 2-closure is  $E$ . We may therefore assume that  $G$  is nonseparable.

If  $G$  is not simple, let  $e$  and  $f$  be parallel edges. We may assume that  $e$  and  $f$  lie in a 3-edge cut. Otherwise,  $G \setminus e$  is 3-edge-connected and, by induction, has an even subgraph  $C$  whose 2-closure is  $E \setminus \{e\}$ . Then  $C$  is an even subgraph of  $G$  whose 2-closure is  $E$ . Because  $m \geq 5$ , the graph  $G' := G / \{e, f\}$  is 3-edge-connected. By induction,  $G'$  has an even subgraph  $C'$  whose 2-closure is  $E \setminus \{e, f\}$ . Then either  $C' \cup \{e\}$  or  $C' \cup \{e, f\}$  is an even subgraph whose 2-closure is  $E$ . We may therefore assume that  $G$  is simple.

Let  $C$  be an even subgraph of  $G$  such that:

- i) the subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  induced by the 2-closure of  $C$  is connected,
- ii) subject to (i),  $C$  is as large as possible.

We shall show that  $H$  is a spanning subgraph of  $G$  and hence, by the definition of 2-closure, that  $H = G$ .

If not, let  $K$  be a component of  $G - V(H)$ . Because  $G$  is 3-edge-connected, there are at least three edges linking  $H$  and  $K$ . We shall show that two of those edges are incident with vertices in some 2-edge-connected subgraph  $F$  of  $K$ .

If  $K$  itself is 2-edge-connected, then we take  $F$  to be  $K$ . Otherwise, for some cut edge  $e$  of  $K$ , at least one of the two components of  $K \setminus e$  is 2-edge-connected, and we take  $F$  to be such a subgraph of  $K$ . In either case,  $|\partial_K(F)| \leq 1$ . By the 3-edge-connectivity of  $G$ , there are (at least) two edges linking  $H$  and  $F$ .

The ends in  $F$  of these two edges are distinct because no vertex of  $K$  is adjacent to two vertices of  $H$ , by the definition of 2-closure. Moreover, these ends are connected by two edge-disjoint paths in  $F$ , by the edge version of Menger's Theorem (7.17). Let  $S$  be the union of the edge sets of these paths. Then  $C \cup S$  contradicts the choice of  $C$ . This contradiction establishes the lemma. ■